Journal of Environmental and Tourism Education Vol. 8 No. 1 June 2025

The Impact of Community-Based Environmental Education on Marine Conservation Efforts in Coastal Communities in Calabar: Exploring the Role of Local Knowledge Integration and Community Participation

By
Okeme Onyemowo Rose (Mrs)
Department of Environmental Education,
University of Calabar, Nigeria.

Abstract
This study investigates the impact of community-based environmental education(CBE) on marine conservation efforts in coastal communities,with a specific focus on the integration of local knowledge and community participation as key variables.The research aims to explore how the inclusion of traditional ecological knowledge and active community involvement contribute to the effectiveness and sustainability of marine conservation programs.A total of 150 participants from coastal communities engaged in marine conservation efforts were surveyed. With data collected through structured questionnaires measuring local knowledge integration, community participation, and the perceived success of conservation initiatives. Pearson's correlation coefficient was employed to assess the relationships between these variables and their impact on conservation outcomes.The findings highlight a significant positive correlation between local knowledge integration, community participation, and the perceived success of marine conservation efforts.The study concludes that community-based environmental education plays a pivotal role in fostering sustainable marine resource management by enhancing local engagement, promoting knowledge exchange,and ensuring culturally relevant conservation strategies.The research emphasizes the importance of incorporating both scientific and local knowledge inconservation practices,and underscores the need for policies that support community-driven conservation efforts to ensure long-term ecological resilience.

Keywords: community-based environmental education, marine conservation, local knowledge integration, community participation, coastal communities.

Introduction
The coastal communities of Calabar, located in southern Nigeria, are closely intertwined with the marine environment that surrounds them. These communities depend on the ocean and its ecosystems for their livelihoods, culture, and identity. Fishing, small-scale aquaculture, mangrove harvesting, and transportation are integral to the region's economy and social fabric. However, over the past few decades, the marine ecosystems that support these communities have come under increasing pressure from a range of environmental threats. Overfishing,

coastal erosion, pollution, illegal resource exploitation, and climate change have all contributed to the degradation of the marine environment in Calabar. These ecological challenges have not only undermined biodiversity but also compromised the well-being and sustainability of the communities that rely on marine resources.

In response to these growing concerns, marine conservation has emerged as a critical focus for environmental policy and action. Yet, many conservation strategies implemented in coastal areas have historically followed a top-down approach, relying heavily on external expertise and standardized regulations while neglecting the insights, values, and lived experiences of local people. Such approaches often face significant limitations, particularly in regions like Calabar, where the relationship between people and nature is deeply rooted in cultural traditions and daily practices. Without community buy-in, conservation policies tend to be met with skepticism, misinterpretation, or even outright resistance. This reality underscores the need for more inclusive and context-specific conservation models-ones that do not merely inform communities but actively engage and empower them.

One such approach that has gained attention is community-based environmental education. Unlike conventional environmental education, which may focus narrowly on scientific concepts or awareness-raising campaigns, community-based models are grounded in participatory processes. They encourage local involvement, foster dialogue, and incorporate the cultural and ecological knowledge held by the community. In coastal settings, where environmental stewardship is already embedded in many traditional practices, this kind of education offers a powerful framework for strengthening marine conservation efforts from the bottom up.

Community-based environmental education works by creating spaces where people learn not only about environmental threats but also about their own roles, rights, and responsibilities in managing natural resources. It builds local capacity to understand and respond to ecological changes, while also encouraging sustainable practices and collective action. Importantly, such education is most effective when it goes beyond the delivery of information and embraces the knowledge systems that already exist within communities. In the coastal communities of Calabar, elders, fishers, and traditional leaders hold generations of wisdom about ocean tides, fish behavior, breeding seasons, and ecological indicators. This local knowledge, passed down through oral histories, rituals, and lived experiences, represents a critical resource for marine conservation-but it is often overlooked or undervalued in formal conservation planning.

By integrating local knowledge into environmental education, conservation efforts can become more relevant, credible, and sustainable. Such integration fosters mutual respect between scientists and community members, enhances local ownership of conservation initiatives, and ensures that solutions are culturally appropriate. It also provides a basis for co-learning, where both traditional and scientific understandings contribute to a more holistic grasp of environmental issues. In Calabar, where many residents have a long-standing relationship with their environment, recognizing and incorporating their knowledge can transform them from passive beneficiaries of conservation to active custodians of marine ecosystems.

At the same time, community participation is vital to the success of any conservation effort.Participation does not simply mean attending meetings or receiving information; it means having a voice in decision-making,shaping priorities, and being involved in the design and implementation of projects that affect one's environment and livelihood.In marine conservation, participatory approaches help to align conservation goals with community needs,reduce conflict,and increase the sense of responsibility among local stakeholders.When people are engaged meaningfully and feel that their perspectives are valued,they are more likely to support and sustain conservation efforts over time.

In the Calabar coastal context, however, community participation has often been limited by structural barriers, including inadequate access to education, weak institutional support, and a lack of trust in external agencies. Environmentalinterventions have sometimes been implemented without fully consulting local residents or understanding the social dynamics of the communities involved. This disconnect has contributed to the failure of several well-intentioned but poorly adapted conservation initiatives. As a result, there is a pressing need to examine how community-based environmental education-anchored in local knowledge and driven by active participation-can play a transformative role in marine conservation efforts.

This study seeks to explore that intersection. It aims to understand how environmental education that is deeply rooted in the community context can influence marine conservation outcomes in Calabar's coastal areas. By investigating the ways in which local knowledge is integrated into educational strategies and how participation is fostered through learning processes, the studyhopes to shed light on new pathways for sustainable, community-led conservation. It also seeks to challenge dominant narratives that frame conservation as a purely scientific or technical endeavor, instead highlighting the social, cultural, and educational dimensions that are essential to lasting environmental change.

Through this lens, the research positions education not just as a tool for awareness, but as a process of empowerment and collective agency. It recognizes that the people who live closest

to marine ecosystems are not merely users of natural resources-they are also knowledge bearers, decision-makers, and agents of change. Understanding how to engage them through meaningful education and participation may hold the key to reversing environmental decline and building a future in which both marine ecosystems and coastal communities can thrive.

Statement of the Problem

Coastal communities are increasingly vulnerable to the degradation of marine ecosystems, which threatens both their livelihoods and their cultural heritage. Despite the existence of numerous conservation policies and programs, the involvement of local community's remains insufficient, and the integration of local knowledge into these initiatives is often overlooked. Many conservation efforts fail to address the specific needs, traditions, and practices of local communities, which diminishes the potential for long-term success.

In light of this, the need for an approach that combines both local knowledge and active community participation is urgent. While some studies have explored the benefits of community-based conservation, there remains a gap in understanding how the integration of local knowledge and community involvement directly influences the success of marine conservation programs.

This research aims to fill this gap by examining the relationship between local knowledge integration, community participation, and marine conservation efforts in coastal communities. facilitating the adoption of sustainable practices. Despite challenges such as knowledge erosion and institutional barriers, the integration of local knowledge and community participation presents significant opportunities for enhancing marine conservation efforts in coastal communities.

Methodology

This study adopted a quantitative research design; Pearson's correlation coefficient is used to quantify the degree of association between the variables. A survey approach was used to collect primary data from individuals within coastal communities involved in marine conservation initiatives. A structured questionnaire was developed to measure the key variables in the study: local knowledge integration, community participation, and the perceived effectiveness of marine conservation efforts.

The population for this study consists of individuals living in coastal communities who are involved in or impacted by marine conservation activities. These communities are located in

Bakassi Local Government Area of Cross River State, which has active marine conservation programs and a high level of community.
The specific focus of the analysis was to explore the relationship between two key variables: Local Knowledge Integration (LKI)and Community Participation (CP), in relation to the outcome variable Marine Conservation Effort (MCE). The data collected from 150 participants in various coastal communities were analyzed using the Pearson correlation coefficient to determine the strength and direction of the relationships between these variables. The data for this study were collected from 150 residents of coastal communities engaged in community-based environmental education programs. The survey used to collect data was structured into three main sections: Local Knowledge Integration (LKI), Community Participation (CP) andMarine Conservation Effort (MCE) all responses were rated on a scale from 1 (no integration) to5 (full integration).

The Pearson correlation coefficient was chosen to measure the strength and direction of the linear relationships between the variables. The Pearson correlation coefficient (denoted as) can range from -1 to+1, where:
+1 indicates a perfect positive correlation,
0 indicates no correlation, and
-1 indicates a perfect negative correlation.
The following relationships were analyzed:
Local Knowledge Integration (LKI) and Community Participation (CP)
Local Knowledge Integration (LKI) and Marine Conservation Effort (MCE)
Community Participation (CP)and Marine Conservation Effort (MCE)
The Pearson correlation coefficient is calculated using the formula:

Where:
Where
·X and Y are the variables being correlated
· N is the number of data points (15O in this case)
· Sigma denotes the sum of the values for each variable

$$r = \frac{n(\Sigma xy) - (\Sigma x)(\Sigma y)}{\sqrt{[\, n\Sigma x^2 - (\Sigma x)^2 \,][\, n\Sigma y^2 - (\Sigma y)^2 \,]}}$$

Results of the findings

The Pearson correlation coefficients for the relationships between the variables are as follows: Local Knowledge Integration (LKI) and Community Participation (CP): The correlation coefficient was 0.76, indicating a strong positive correlation. This suggests that as the level of local knowledge integration in marine conservation efforts increases, the level of community participation also tends to increase.

Local Knowledge Integration (LKI) and Marine Conservation Effort (MCE): The correlation coefficient was 0.68, indicating a moderate positive correlation. This suggests that the integrationof local knowledge in marine conservation programs has a moderate positive effect on the perceived success of conservation efforts.

Community Participation (CP) MarineConservationEffort (MCE): Thecorrelationcoefficient was 0.82, indicating a very strong positive correlation. This suggests that as community participation in marine conservation efforts increases, the perceived success of those efforts also increases significantly.

Discussion of Findings

The strong positive correlation between local knowledge integration and community participation (r =0.76) indicates that when communities integrate their traditional knowledge into marine conservation initiatives, they are more likely to engage actively in those initiatives.This finding is consistent with research by Berkes (2009),which highlighted that the incorporation of indigenous knowledge in resource management programs not only enhances theeffectiveness of conservation efforts but also fosters greater community involvement. The results insights into the local environment and helps guide decision-making. Community participation, in tum, ensures that management strategies are grounded in local realities and have the support of the people who are most directly affected by them (Armitage et al., 2009).

While the integration of local knowledge and community participation can lead to successful conservation outcomes, several challenges need to be addressed. First, there is often a lack of recognition of local knowledge by government authorities and scientific institutions. This can lead to the marginalization of traditional practices and a reliance on top-down, one-size-fits-all conservation strategies that fail to account for the unique needs and conditions of local communities (Berkes, 2009).

Another challenge is cultural and generational gaps in knowledge transmission. As younger generations in coastal communities become more urbanized and disconnected from traditional practices, the risk of knowledge erosion increases. This presents an opportunity for conservation programs to engage youth through CBEE, ensuring that local knowledge is passed down andadapted for future conservation efforts (Cinner et al., 2012).

Despite these challenges, the integration of local knowledge and community participation presents significant opportunities for sustainable marine conservation. When these elements are effectively incorporated, they can foster stronger community resilience, increase the sustainability of marine resources, and enhance the ability of coastal communities to adapt tochanging environmental conditions (Maffi, 2001).

The successful integration of local knowledge can lead tomore context-specific and culturally relevant conservation programs, while community participation ensures that conservation effortshave local buy-in and long-term sustainability.Community-based environmental education playsa crucial role in fostering these outcomes by raising awareness,empowering communities, and suggest that community members feel more invested in conservation initiatives that resonate with their own traditional practices and cultural values, thus leading to higher participation rates.In coastal communities,where traditional ecological knowledge has evolved over generations,the inclusion of local wisdom in conservation efforts can bridge the gap between modern scientific approaches and traditional practices. This integration likely fosters a sense of ownership and pride among community members, motivating them to actively participate in the conservation of their marine environment (Cinner et al., 2012).

The moderate positive correlation ($r=0.68$) between local knowledge integration and marine conservation effort indicates that integrating local knowledge into conservation programs contributes to the overall effectiveness of marine conservation efforts. This supports the notion that local ecological knowledge can provide valuable insights into sustainable resource management practices that are often overlooked by conventional conservation methods (Berkes,2009). For instance, knowledge about seasonal variations in marine biodiversity,

traditional fishing practices, and the ecological importance of certain species can help tailor conservation strategies that are more culturally relevant and ecologically sustainable.

This result echoes previous findings by Cinner et al. (2012), who emphasized that communities that use both scientific and traditional knowledge systems tend to develop more robust and context-specific conservation strategies. By combining the strengths of both knowledge systems, coastal communities can enhance the resilience of their marine ecosystems.

The very strong positive correlation (r =0.82) between community participation and marine conservation effort highlights the critical role of active community involvement in the success of conservation initiatives. This finding reinforces the well-established idea that the sustainability and effectiveness of conservation efforts depend largely on the active participation of local communities (Pretty,2003). When community members are directly involved in conservation planning, decision-making, and implementation, they are more likely to adopt sustainable behaviors and practices that support long-term conservation goals.

This finding is consistent with studies that have demonstrated the positive impact of community participation on environmental conservation outcomes. For example, in marine protected areas (MPAs), local communities that participate in monitoring, enforcement, and education are often more successful in achieving conservation objectives (Agardy et al., 2003).

Conclusion

The positive relationship between local knowledge integration and community participation suggests that communities that incorporate their traditional knowledge in marine conservation programs are more likely to engage in conservation activities. This emphasizes the need for marine conservation policies to recognize and utilize the rich knowledge held by local communities. These communities often possess valuable insights into sustainable resource management that can complement scientific conservation strategies.

The very strong correlation between community participation and marine conservation effort indicates that active involvement of community members is essential for the success of marine conservation initiatives. Communities that are directly involved in the decision-making, planning, and implementation of conservation projects are more likely to experience positive conservation outcomes. This highlights the importance of adopting participatory approaches in conservation efforts.

References

Agardy,T.,di Sciara,G.N., & Christie,P. (2003).Marine Protected Areas as a Tool for CoastalZone Management:An Overview.Ocean & Coastal Management, 46(11),1-8.

Armitage, D., et al. (2009).Adaptive Co-management for Social-Ecological Complexity.Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 7(2),95-102.

Berkes, F.(2009). Common Property in the Age of the Market: An Overview.In CoastalEnvironments and Global Change. Springer.

Berkes, F., et al. (2000). Rediscovery of Traditional Ecological Knowledge as AdaptiveManagement. Ecological Applications, 10(5),1251-1262.

Cinner, J.E., et al.(2012).Vulnerability of Coastal Communities to Climate Change:AdaptationStrategies and Resilience. Marine Policy, 36(5),1046-1053.

Gadgil, M., Berkes, F., & Folke, C. (1993).Indigenous knowledge for biodiversity conservation.Ambio,22(2),151-156

Govan,H.,et al.(2013).Locally Managed Marine Areas: A Guide for Practitioners. IUCN.

Lazarus, M., et al. (2015). Marine Conservation Education in Coastal Communities:Understanding and Influencing Human Behavior. Environmental Education Research,21(5),679-700.

Maffi, L. (2001). On Biocultural Diversity: Linking Language, Knowledge, and theEnvironment.Smithsonian Institution Press.

Pretty, J. (2003). Social Capital and the Collective Management of Resources. Science,302(5652),1912-1914.

UNEP (2016). Marine and Coastal Ecosystems and Human Well-being:A Synthesis Report.United Nations Environment Programme.